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Abstract
Background: National End of Life Care featured that most patients (>70%) of hepatic disease dying from liver disease. In this manner there is have to expand familiarity with basic of critical nurse's performance about of end of life care of hepatic patients. Aim: Present study aimed to evaluate the effect of teaching program on critical care nurses Performance about end of life care for hepatic patients. Setting: Study was led in Intensive care units at El Raghy University Hospital. Subjects: Forty nurses were included in the study. Tools of data collection: there are two tools used to collect data: (Tool I) Knowledge assessment questionnaire (Tool II) observational check list. All nurses divided into small group and received the teaching program. Evaluate nurses performance Pre and post test was complete immediately after program implementation. Results: There is very highly statistical difference between nurses' knowledge in Pre &Post test program (p<0.05). Most of nurses had unsatisfactory level pre-knowledge with percentage (77.5%); Also most of Post Study group had satisfactory level with percentage (90.0%). Conclusion: strengthening of basic care nurses knowledge and practices would have a positive impact on their knowledge and practice. Recommendation: Provide education through end of life Nursing Education Consortium modules for all critical care nurses.
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Introduction
Chronic liver disease is a major cause of mortality worldwide. In the United Kingdom, liver deaths have been increasing for 30 years and currently constitute the fifth highest cause of mortality. (Moore, 2012). Chronic liver diseases (CLDs) represent a major world public health problem. The liver is in many ways, the reflection of a person’s health and should play a central role in worldwide public health policies. Current, but probably undervalued, worldwide, estimations show that 844 million people have CLDs, with a mortality rate of 2 million deaths per year (Byass, 2014).

End-stage liver disease (ESLD) is the lasted compensating phase in the liver trajectory. It is characterized byepisodic, acute exacerbations, frequently requiring hospitalization. Include the following complications for example variceal hemorrhage or hepatoma, combined with different side effects including ascites, extraordinary weakness, pruritus, and cachexia. (D’Amico, et al., 2012).

Nurses play a pivotal role in managing patients’ pain and the associated distress which affects the comfort of critical patient. The nurses’ roles in pain management such as assessment, implementation of evidence-based management strategies, monitoring patients’ response, documentation and educating of the patients and their families are key to successful pain control. (Dunwoody, et al., 2008).

Basic care nurse in critical unit need require openings through instruction and mentoring to build up their competency in providing end-of-life care around the areas of: communications skills to advocate for patients and families, standardized approaches to pain and symptom management and seeking and providing emotional support to patients, families and members of the health care team. (Bach, et al., 2011).

Patients toward the finish of life in the emergency unit is(ICU) is highly dependent on the ICU nurse’s knowledge and skill about comfort level in watching over the withering patient and the patient's family. Considering that few medical attendants get formal preparing in end-of-life mind, medical attendants may see the look after their patients and families. (Mary, 2014).

Also nurses play an important role in facilitating communication between and among family members and the health care team. The strategies nurses use to enact this role are presented in three categories: give information to physicians, give information to family members, and mediate. (Judith, 2011). Nurses provide support to families by taking time to develop trusting relationships. Family members reported that they trusted nurses who introduced
themselves to the family, explained equipment, and were willing to talk. Nurses also identified the importance of establishing a rapport with families. (Calvin, et al., 2009).

Prevalence of hepatic disease

In Egypt, liver disease is almost certainly a major health concern. Hepatitis C virus (HCV) predominance among the 15–59 years age group is evaluated to be 14.7%. The high predominance of constant liver illnesses has prompted expanding quantities of Egyptian patients experiencing end stage liver disease (ESLD), requiring liver transplantation. (Khaled & Ibrahim, 2016).

Statistic of tropical and gastrointestinal intensive care units at El Raghy University Hospital in the year of (2016) revealed that the number of patient admitted with liver cirrhosis, hepatic coma were 700 patients (hospital record of El Raghy University Hospital).

Aim of the study

The present study aimed to evaluate the effect of a teaching program on critical care nurses’ knowledge about end of life care for hepatic patients.

Significance of the study

Liver is a vital organ with many functions including: metabolizing carbohydrates, fats, and bilirubin, storing glycogen, and cleansing blood. The cirrhotic liver may be able to function adequately termed a “compensated” liver - but once the functions start to deteriorate and complications of portal hypertension arise, it is “decompensated” and the patient has end stage liver disease. (Moore, 2012).

Nurses from all areas of practice must be able to offer health information and education to patients to raise awareness of liver disease and promote healthy living strategies. So there is a need to increase knowledge and practice of critical care nurses about end of life care for hepatic patients.

Increasing numbers of patients with liver disease are presenting in general practice and emergency departments. It is important that nurses understand the common causes and complications of liver disease. Thus there is need to increase awareness of critical nurses about knowledge and practice regarding end of life care of hepatic patients.

Research hypotheses

- Nurses post-test knowledge scores higher than nurses’ knowledge the pre-test following implementation of teaching program.
- Nurses post-test practice scores higher than nurses’ pre-test practice scores following implementation of teaching program.
- A positive relationship exists between knowledge and practice of critical care nurses post end of life teaching program.

Subject & Method

Research design

Quasi experimental research design (pre-test post-test) was used to evaluate the effectiveness of structured teaching program for the present study. Thus only one group is observed twice (before and after introducing the independent variable).

Study variable

- The dependent variable was the nurse’s performance about end of life care for hepatic patients.
- The independent variable was the teaching program.

Setting

The study was led in Tropical Intensive care units and Gastrointestinal Intensive care unit at El Raghy University Hospital.

Sample

A Convenience sampling of all nurses (n = 40) working in above mentioned setting were included in the study. The nurse must have at least one year experience in intensive care unit at El Raghy University Hospital and willing to participate in the study.

Tools of data collection

Two tools were used in this study.

Tool one: Nurses knowledge questionnaire: this tool was develop by researcher after review literatures to evaluate knowledge level of critical care nurses about end phase of lifecare (Lewis, et al., 2016) & (Lind, et al., 2011). It was converted into Arabic language. This tool included two parts:

Part (1): Socio-demographic data of nurses such as: age, gender, qualification, years of experience, training course, previous working in ICU.

Part (2): Nurses knowledge about hepatic disease and knowledge about end of life care for hepatic patients.

Knowledge scoring system

The tool included 12 questions; nine of them were in multiple chose questions and three open questions. Multiple chose questions scored as one degree for correct answer and zero for wrong answer. The open question scored as 2 degree for complete answer and 1 degree for incomplete answer and zero for incorrect one who obtained 50% or less than were considered having unsatisfactory level. While those who obtained 50% or more were considered having satisfactory level.

Tool two: (Observational check list sheets) This tool created by researcher after review literatures (Bella & Magnaye, 2013) used to evaluate the effect...
of teaching program on critical care nurses' practice about end of life care for hepatic patients. It consist of
the 36 steps as following:
- Quality care for hepatic which included 13 steps.
- Communication of nurses for patient and family
which included 13 steps.
- Family support which included 6 steps.
- Ethical-moral and legal responsibility which
included 4 steps.

Practice Scoring system
The aggregate score for all means were 36 and each
progression was assessed as take after effectively
done was scored (1) and not done was scored (0).
Who obtained less than 50% was considered having
poor practice. While those who obtained 50% or
more were considered having good practice.

Development of education program
Teaching program was created by researcher based
on view of past evaluation of nurse's performance
about end of life care available resources and review
of relevant literature. (Sarah, 2013) & (Judith,
2011).

General goal of the teaching program:
The general target developed teaching program to
enhance the basic care nurses' knowledge and
performance about end of life care for hepatic
patients

Preliminary stage
- Permission to lead the study was obtained from
the hospital responsible authorities after
explanation the aim of the study.
- The tools used in this study were created by the
researcher based on reviewing the relevant
literature.
- The tools were tested for content related validity
by jury of 5 specialists in the field of critical care
nursing and critical care medicine.
- It was conducted on 10% of sample in selected
setting to evaluate the applicability and clearly of
tools, the reliability was tested for tool 1 and 2 by
using Cronbach's alpha (tau-equivalent reliability)
coefficient (r= 0.827, 0.859 respectively) which
its internal consistency "Good", then tools were
modified according to the result of pilot study.
- A pilot study was conducted on 5 nurses to test
the feasibility and applicability of the tool. Those
subjects included from the actual study according
to the needed modification.
- Permission for voluntary participation was
obtained from nurses after explain the purpose of
the study.
- An approval was obtained from the ethical
committee and the study was followed the
common ethical principles in clinical research.

Teaching methods
- Lectures discussion by (picture-handout).
- PowerPoint presentation and booklet which
developed in Arabic by the researcher based on
reviewing the related literature.
- The total sample was divided into ten sub group
included four nurses each session for better
performance and understanding.

Implementation phase and evaluation phase
- All nurses were interviewed (one hour) in different
shift or before beginning of shift.
- The total sample was divided into ten sub group
included four nurses each session for better
performance and understanding.

Assessment of knowledge was done twice as
follows
- One at beginning of study was considered as pretest
assessment and as base line data for latter comparison with future post test.
- The second administration of questionnaire was
carried out after implementation of the teaching
program to identify its effect on nurses' knowledge.

Assess nurse's performance
- The researcher observes the nurses performance
using observational checklist tool two before and
after program implementation.

Implementation of program
The program was implemented for the ten subgroup
of nurses. All groups were exposed to three session in
addition to the preliminary one.

Preliminary session
In this session the researcher met the nurses and
explained the objectives content, and methods of
evaluation program.

Session I included
Anatomy and function of liver, definition of hepatic
coma, causes of hepatic coma for duration 30
minutes.

Session II included
Causes of hepatic coma, sign and symptoms of
hepatic coma, stages of hepatic coma, complication
in end stage of hepatic coma, and management of
hepatic coma for duration 30 minutes.

Session III included
Definition of end of life care, sign and symptoms of
end of life care, and management of patient in end of
life care for duration 30 minutes.

Evaluation of the teaching program
- The same pretest study tools (questionnaire sheet,
observational checklist) was used as post test for
study subject in order to test the effectiveness of the
program on nurse's knowledge and performance
about end of life care. A comparison was done
between before and after program implementation.
Statistical analysis
• The data obtained had reviewed, prepared for computer entry, coded, analyzed and tabulated. Descriptive statistics (frequencies and percentages, mean and standard deviation) were done using computer program (SPSS) version (22).
• Independent sample T-test, Chi-square and One-way-ANOVA tests used in the relationship between pre-study and post-study groups' knowledge and practice. It's considered significant when P. value less than (0.05).
• Cronbach's alpha was done to test reliability of the tools.

Result

Table (1): Sociodemographic characteristics of the studied nurses (n= 40).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Items</th>
<th>Group</th>
<th>No. (n=40)</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td></td>
<td>40</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age: (Years)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 to &lt;25</td>
<td></td>
<td>23</td>
<td>57.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 to &lt;35</td>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>37.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;35</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean±SD</td>
<td></td>
<td>24.5±3.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td></td>
<td>21–39</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education level</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermediate education</td>
<td></td>
<td>23</td>
<td>57.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technical Institute</td>
<td></td>
<td>11</td>
<td>27.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bachelor</td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>15.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experience level: (: Years)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 to &lt;3</td>
<td></td>
<td>26</td>
<td>65.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 to &lt; 5</td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>15.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 to &lt; 10</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 10</td>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>17.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean±SD</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.4±3.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td></td>
<td>1–14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marital status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single</td>
<td></td>
<td>23</td>
<td>57.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Widow</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married</td>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>37.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Divorced</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training for emergency</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
<td>37</td>
<td>92.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training for hepatic diseases</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>12.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
<td>35</td>
<td>87.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previously worked at ICU</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>50.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>50.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure (1): Percentage distribution of nurses' knowledge about end of life care.

Table (2): Percentage distribution of nurses' knowledge (Pre & post-test) about end of life care.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nursing knowledge</th>
<th>Pre No. 40</th>
<th>Post No. 40</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Complete Correct</td>
<td>Incomplete Correct</td>
<td>Not Correct</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What is hepatic coma?</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>45.0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What causes hepatic coma?</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>45.0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What are the symptoms of hepatic coma?</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>45.0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What is the final stage of life?</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symptoms of final stage of liver patients.</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Common symptoms in final stage of life.</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nursing care for patient at end life care.</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>85.0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Needs of patient in the final stage of life.</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>52.5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Important needs of patient’s life-long life.</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>60.0</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To provide comfort and pain relief for the patient in the final stage must be:</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>52.5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To provide mental care for the patient, it is necessary to:</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deal with liver patient in the final stage?</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Independent sample T test * Statistical significant differences (p≤0.001)
Figure (2): Percentage distribution of nurses' practice about end of life care.

Table (3): Percentage distribution of nurses' practice regarding quality care (Pre & Post - test).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quality care Nursing practice</th>
<th>Pre No. 40</th>
<th>Post No. 40</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Done</td>
<td>Not Done</td>
<td>Done</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assess vital signs</td>
<td>39 (97.0)</td>
<td>1 (2.5)</td>
<td>40 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perform infection control measures.</td>
<td>11 (27.5)</td>
<td>29 (72.5)</td>
<td>40 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assess pain frequently and administer analgesics</td>
<td>28 (70.0)</td>
<td>12 (30.0)</td>
<td>40 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instruct relatives about infection control.</td>
<td>1 (2.5)</td>
<td>39 (97.5)</td>
<td>20 (50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ensure clients' safety by raising side rails.</td>
<td>30 (75.0)</td>
<td>10 (25.0)</td>
<td>24 (60)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provide the client with mean to call for assistance.</td>
<td>11 (27.5)</td>
<td>29 (72.5)</td>
<td>16 (40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perform frequent turning and positioning of client.</td>
<td>29 (72.5)</td>
<td>11 (27.5)</td>
<td>40 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Position client to semi flowers position.</td>
<td>37 (92.5)</td>
<td>3 (7.5)</td>
<td>40 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provide oral hygiene as often as necessary.</td>
<td>36 (90.0)</td>
<td>4 (10.0)</td>
<td>40 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ensure patient is not lying or sitting on equipment.</td>
<td>35 (87.5)</td>
<td>5 (12.5)</td>
<td>31 (77.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Encourage talking to/touching patient.</td>
<td>10 (25.0)</td>
<td>30 (75.0)</td>
<td>27 (67.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Locate private place for family communication.</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>40 (100.0)</td>
<td>40 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nurse not being able to communicate with patient.</td>
<td>40 (100.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>40 (100)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Statistical significant differences (p≤0.05)
### Table (4): Percentage & distribution of nurses’ Practice regarding communication (Pre & post - test).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Communication Nursing practice</th>
<th>Pre No. 40</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>No.</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>No.</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>No.</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>No.</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Encourage sharing feelings for client and his family.</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>97.5</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>65.0</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>35.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discuss about patients plan of care.</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>60.0</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>40.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Take and address with the patient’s family.</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>45.0</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>55.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use simple term instead of complex medical language.</td>
<td></td>
<td>39</td>
<td>97.5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nurse having to deal with angry family members.</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>95.0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Talk with the family about it being all right.</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>60.0</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>40.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Talk with the family about the patient’s illness.</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>95.0</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>92.5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helping family understand what the dying process.</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>65.0</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>35.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Giving bad news in a sensitive way.</td>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>37.5</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>62.5</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>65.0</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>35.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family continually calls nurse for update.</td>
<td></td>
<td>33</td>
<td>82.7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>75.0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>25.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nurse having to deal with angry family members.</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>92.5</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family members not having private place.</td>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>77.5</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>72.5</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>27.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family not with the patient when he/she is dying.</td>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
<td>75.0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Statistical significant differences (p ≤ 0.05)

### Table (5): Percentage & distribution of nurses’ practice regarding family support (Pre & post - test).

| family support Nursing practice                                                                 | Pre No. 40 |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          | P-value  |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
|                                                                                                 | Date       | No.     | %        | No.     | %        | No.     | %        | No.     | %        |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |
| Having family time to be alone with patient.                                                   |            | 39      | 97.5     | 1       | 2.5      | 37      | 92.5     | 3       | 7.5      | 0.311    |
| Allowing family unlimited access to patient.                                                  |            | 0       | 0.0      | 40      | 100.0    | 16      | 40.0     | 24      | 60.0     | 0.0001***|
| Give reports on the state of the patient                                                     |            | 3       | 7.5      | 37      | 92.5     | 32      | 80.0     | 8       | 20.0     | 0.0001***|
| Urge relatives to contribute.                                                                 |            | 1       | 2.5      | 39      | 97.5     | 33      | 82.5     | 7       | 17.5     | 0.0001***|
| Providing the opportunity for dialogue.                                                       |            | 15      | 37.5     | 25      | 62.5     | 35      | 87.5     | 5       | 12.5     | 0.0001***|
| Setting aside opportunity to tune in, concerns and answer questions.                          |            | 24      | 60.0     | 16      | 40.0     | 34      | 85.0     | 6       | 15.0     | 0.012*   |

* Statistical significant differences (p ≤ 0.05)

**Figure (3): relationship between nurses’ pre-post knowledge and pre-post practice:**
Table (1): Shows that all groups were female with percentage 100%. More than half of group had aged between 18 to < 25 years and had intermediate education single with percentage 57.5%. Two third of group had 1 to < 3 years of experience with percentage 65.0%. Most of group hadn't training for emergency or for hepatic diseases with percentage 92.5% and 87.5% respectively. Half of group had previously worked at ICU with percentage 50%.

Table (2): Reflected that All items of nurses' knowledge (Pre & Post - test) had a very highly statistical difference with p-values respectively (0.001 and 0.003) except at final stage of life? & Symptoms of final stage of liver patients.

Table (3): Illustrates that Most item of nurses' practice (pre & post - test) regarding Quality care had very highly statistical difference between nurses' Pre and Post practice according to total degree with p-value (0.0001).

Table (4): Illustrates that Most item of nurses' practice (pre & post - test) regarding Communication had very highly statistical difference between nurses' Pre and Post practice according to total degree with p-value (0.0001). except family continually care nurses for update and used support term with p-value (0.41, 0.32).

Table (5) Illustrates that Most item of nurses' practice (pre & post - test) regarding Family support had very highly statistical difference between nurses' Pre and Post practice according to total degree with p-value (0.0001). At (Taking time to listen, concerns and answer questions.) there is statistical difference between nurses' Pre and Post practice according to total degree with p-value (0.012).

Figure (1): Show that There is very highly statistical difference between nurses' knowledge between Pre &Post test. The most of nurses had unsatisfactory level pre-knowledge; also most of Post Study group had satisfactory level.

Figure (2): Show that there is very highly statistical difference between nurses' Pre & Post practice according to total degree with p-value (0.0001).

Figure (3): Illustrates that there is a relation between nurses' pre-post knowledge and pre-post practice on one hand by increasing the knowledge (post-test) the practice will be better and on other hand by decreasing the knowledge (pre-test) the practice will be worst.

Discussion

Based on the results of the present study, most of nurses were young adults. A large portion of them have no in-serves instructional classes identified with end of life for hepatic patients and the greater part of study were had 1, 3 years of experience and more than of study group worked at ICU.

This findings agree with, (Zaghlal, et al., 2014) who reported the Knowledge & Practice of Nurses about End of Life Nursing Care which revealed that majority of the study subjects were females, less than thirty years old with mean age (24.21±4.38), were bed side nurse, and didn't have any training related to end of life nursing care. Also the highest percentages of the study sample were having five to ten years of experience.

In this line, (Wahdan, 2010) who reported that revealed nearly half of the study subjects were at age group of 20 to 25 years old, total sample was females, had five years' experience in critical care units or more, also held a diploma degree, and were bedside nurse.

The current study documented poor perception on end of life care, death and dying. One of the reasons could be due to lack of experience with majority of nurses have less than 1 year experience and below 30 years old. (Subramanian, 2013).

The present study showed very highly statistical difference nurses' knowledge between Pre &Post test. The most of nurses had unsatisfactory level pre-knowledge Also most of Post Study group had satisfactory level. This may be due to deficiency of nurses knowledge especially whom working in the intensive care units and increase the number of patients for each nurse with over-loaded by more duties and having more work hours.

This finding agree with the current study, Nelson, and Kirchhoff (2009), revealed that nurses have identified a need for improving their knowledge and skills in giving end of life care. In this respect, Meg & Mary (2010) mentioned that the nurse's attendants detailed because of their absence of knowledge, autonomy, and support with providing end of life care in intensive care units. (Hansen, et al., 2009).

According to Barbara (2008), 39% of nurses (n=27) reported that they had not had any end of life education in their nursing school curriculum and the 61 % that did rate the quality of that education on a scale of 1-10 with the mean of 6.70% reported that they had never received any continuing education on end of life since graduating from nursing school. (Morgan, 2008).

The current study revealed, recognizing issues of lacking knowledge and inappropriate attitudes related to pain management are of concern. A better understanding of the factors that impact such knowledge and attitudes and of the difference between attitudes and practice can provide beneficial information to be included in education programmers for nurses and to know policy on the relief of pain management. (Liza, 2008).

This is in line with (Debra, 2014) revealed that effectively implement the ELNEC (End-of-Life Care
Education in Acute and Critical Care) standardized curriculum into a hospital environment and evaluate the impact on voluntary nurse participants Findings indicate this intervention did result in a statistically significant change. Nurses demonstrated increased knowledge of EOL care. The nurses post intervention results on the ELNEC (End-of-Life Care Education in Acute and Critical Care) were demonstrated an increased knowledge of end of life care.

In accordance with Canadian association of basic critical care nurse in the last position statement on providing end of life care in ICU revealed that nurses in critical care need require openings through education and mentoring to build up their competency in giving end-of-life care around the areas of: Communications skills to abilities to advocate for patients and families., standardized approaches to deal with pain and symptom management and looking for and giving emotional support help to patients, families and individuals from medicinal services group including the nurse (Nelson, 2006).

After implementation of the teaching program, nurses practice score levels about end of life care were significantly improved. This improvement might be related to the fact that most of nurses were in young age this age might have good readiness for learning new things.

This finding agree with the current study role of critical care nurses in endof life care which detect that Nurses generally are expert in performance endoflife care to terminally ill clients. Among the five standards established by the AACN (American association of critical care nurses) in Critical Care nursing, Education had the least priority since it is more focused on the nurses’ personal and professional development and is believed to have an indirect effect to the patients’ prognosis (Bella, 2013).

The current study revealed the highlights the important role of critical care nurses in endoflife decision making. Although nurses believe that their involvement is beneficial to patients and family members, this paper strengthens the requirement for experimental evidence of these benefits at the end of life, especially as it relates to the well-being and coping of family members who are making difficult decisions about a loved one in an acute care environment. (Judith, et al., 2011).

This is line with documented that The ELNEC-CC/Archstone courses were successful in increasing the palliative or EOL care knowledge and perceived effectiveness in teaching palliative care/EOL content for acute and critical care nurses who completed this course, which is similar to the benefits of ELNEC courses for nurses in other areas. (Marian 2013).

(Hyun, et al., 2011) revealed after come to the course, the knowledge of hospice and palliative care expanded among all groups, particularly significantly higher for the group of nurses never ensured in hospice and palliative nursing. Overall, the End-of-Life Nursing Education Consortium course was successful for Korean nurses in increasing the knowledge on hospice and palliative care.

(Daren 2010)revealed End-of-life care in Canada might be enhanced for patients and their families by giving better psychological and spiritual support, better planning of care and improved relationships with physicians, especially in aspects related to communication and decision-making.

This is line with (Krimshtein, et al., 2011) Effective communication is an essential component of high-quality care in the ICU. Evidence propose that communication with patients and families in the ICU can be most effectively approached in an interdisciplinary way and they must be equipped with the necessary skills. And evidence-based training program such as useful educational strategy for developing ICU nurses' communication expertise and prepares them to be more active members on the interdisciplinary team.

Conclusion

The current study evaluates effect of an Educational program on critical care nurses' knowledge and performance about end of life care for hepatic patients. Based on the results of this study, it can be concluded that:

- A statistical significant difference was found between the critical Care nurses’ level of knowledge before, immediately after application of teaching program about end of life care for hepatic patients.
- A statistical significant improvement was found between the critical Care nurses’ level of practice before immediately after application of teaching program regarding end of life care for hepatic patients.
- There is relation found between knowledge and practice score.

Recommendations

Based on the finding of the present study, the studies recommend that are recommending

- Educate and encourage nurses to communicate directly, in a more open manner, with each other and with patients and patients’ families.
- Organize family meetings frequently to discuss concerns review diagnoses, prognoses, and plan of care.
• Periodic monitoring of nurses knowledge and practice by nursing audits and supervisors.
• A continuing educations program be planned for and offered on regular basis to nurses in Emergency Unit.
• Nurses should be encouraged to go to particular gatherings as workshops and courses held for end of life care to be acquainted with the most advances and skills in this area.
• Return this research on large sample size and in various settings for generalization.
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